

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

_____, Individually and On Behalf of All
Others Similarly Situated,

Case No.

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CARIBOU BIOSCIENCES, INC., RACHEL E.
HAURWITZ, JASON V. O'BYRNE, RYAN
FISCHESSER, SCOTT BRAUNSTEIN,
ANDREW GUGGENHIME, JEFFREY LONG-
MCGIE, and NATALIE R. SACKS,

Defendants.

Plaintiff _____ ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,
by Plaintiff's undersigned attorneys, for Plaintiff's complaint against Defendants, alleges the following
based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's own acts, and information and belief as to
all other matters, based upon, *inter alia*, the investigation conducted by and through Plaintiff's attorneys,
which included, among other things, a review of the Defendants' public documents, conference calls and
announcements made by Defendants, United States ("U.S.") Securities and Exchange Commission
("SEC") filings, wire and press releases published by and regarding Caribou Biosciences, Inc. ("Caribou")

1 or the “Company”), analysts’ reports and advisories about the Company, and information readily
2 obtainable on the Internet. Plaintiff believes that substantial, additional evidentiary support will exist for
3 the allegations set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

4 NATURE OF THE ACTION

5
6 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class consisting of all persons and
7 entities other than Defendants that purchased or otherwise acquired: (a) Caribou common stock pursuant
8 and/or traceable to the Offering Documents (defined below) issued in connection with the Company’s
9 initial public offering conducted on or about July 23, 2021 (the “IPO” or “Offering”); and/or (b) Caribou
10 securities between July 23, 2021 and December 9, 2022, both dates inclusive (the “Class Period”).
11 Plaintiff pursues claims against the Defendants under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”)
12 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”).

13
14 2. Caribou is a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company that engages in the development
15 of genome-edited allogeneic cell therapies for the treatment of hematologic malignancies and solid
16 tumors in the U.S. and internationally. The Company is developing, among other product candidates,
17 CB-010, an allogeneic anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy¹ that is in a Phase 1 clinical trial, referred to as
18 “ANTLER”, to treat relapsed or refractory B cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (“r/r B-NHL”).

19
20 3. According to Defendants, CB-010 is the first clinical-stage allogeneic anti-CD19 CAR-T
21 cell therapy with programmed cell death protein 1 (“PD-1”) removed from the CAR-T cell surface by a
22 genome-edited knockout of the *PDCDI* gene, which purportedly sets CB-010 apart from other allogeneic
23 CAR-T cells by, *inter alia*, improving the “persistence” of antitumor activity.
24

25
26
27 ¹ Anti-CD19 CAR-T cells are administered as a type of therapy for relapsed/refractory aggressive B-cell
28 lymphomas (*i.e.*, cancers that start in the lymph system).

1 4. On July 1, 2021, Caribou filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with the SEC in
2 connection with the IPO, which, after several amendments, was declared effective by the SEC on July
3 22, 2021 (the “Registration Statement”).

4 5. On July 23, 2021, pursuant to the Registration Statement, Caribou’s common stock began
5 publicly trading on the Nasdaq Global Select Market (“NASDAQ”) under the ticker symbol “CRBU”.
6 That same day, Caribou filed a prospectus on Form 424B4 with the SEC in connection with the IPO,
7 which incorporated and formed part of the Registration Statement (the “Prospectus” and, collectively
8 with the Registration Statement, the “Offering Documents”).

9 6. Pursuant to the Offering Documents, Caribou issued 19 million shares of common stock
10 to the public at the Offering price of \$16.00 per share for proceeds of \$282.72 million to the Company,
11 before expenses, and after applicable underwriting discounts.

12 7. The Offering Documents were negligently prepared and, as a result, contained untrue
13 statements of material fact or omitted to state other facts necessary to make the statements made not
14 misleading and were not prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations governing their
15 preparation. Additionally, throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially false and misleading
16 statements regarding the Company’s business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, the Offering
17 Documents and Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i)
18 CB-010’s treatment effect was not as durable as Defendants had led investors to believe; (ii) accordingly,
19 CB-010’s clinical and commercial prospects were overstated; and (iii) as a result, the Offering Documents
20 and Defendants’ public statements throughout the Class Period were materially false and/or misleading
21 and failed to state information required to be stated therein.

22 8. On June 10, 2022, Caribou issued a press release reporting “[p]ositive” data from the
23 ANTLER Phase 1 clinical trial. Among other results, Caribou reported that “[a]t 6 months following the
24
25
26
27
28

1 single dose of CB-010, [only] 40% of patients remained in CR [complete response] (2 of 5 patients) as
2 of the May 13, 2022 data cutoff date”, prompting investor concern over the durability of the CB-010
3 treatment.

4
5 9. On this news, Caribou’s stock price fell \$1.78 per share, or 20.41%, to close at \$6.94 per
6 share on June 10, 2022.

7 10. Then, on December 12, 2022, Caribou issued a press release “report[ing] new 12-month
8 clinical data from cohort 1 in the ongoing ANTLER Phase 1 trial, which [purportedly] show[ed] long-
9 term durability following a single infusion of CB-010 at the initial dose level 1 (40x10⁶ CAR-T
10 cells).” Among other results, Caribou reported that “3 of 6 patients maintained a durable CR at 6 months”
11 and “2 of 6 patients maintain a long-term CR at the 12 month scan and remain on the trial”, thereby
12 confirming investor fears that the CB-010 treatment lacked significant durability.

13
14 11. On this news, Caribou’s stock price fell \$0.81 per share, or 9.03%, to close at \$8.16 per
15 share on December 12, 2022.

16 12. As of the time this Complaint was filed, Caribou common stock continues to trade below
17 the \$16.00 per share Offering price, damaging investors.

18
19 13. As a result of Defendants’ wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the
20 market value of Caribou’s securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses
21 and damages.

22 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

23
24 14. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities
25 Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 77k and 77o), and Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b)
26 and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).

1 15. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
2 1331, Section 22 of the Securities Act (15 U.S.C. § 77v), and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C.
3 § 78aa).

4 16. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15
5 U.S.C. § 78aa) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Caribou is headquartered in this Judicial District, Defendants
6 conduct business in this Judicial District, and a significant portion of Defendants' activities took place
7 within this Judicial District.

8
9 17. In connection with the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants, directly or indirectly,
10 used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including, but not limited to, the mails,
11 interstate telephone communications, and the facilities of the national securities markets.
12

13 **PARTIES**

14 18. Plaintiff, as set forth in the attached Certification, acquired Caribou common stock
15 pursuant and/or traceable to the Offering Documents issued in connection with the Company's IPO,
16 and/or purchased or otherwise acquired Caribou securities at artificially inflated prices during the Class
17 Period, and suffered damages as a result of the federal securities law violations and false and/or
18 misleading statements and/or material omissions alleged herein.
19

20 19. Defendant Caribou is a Delaware corporation with principal executive offices located at
21 2929 7th Street, Suite 105, Berkeley, California 94710. The Company's common stock trades in an
22 efficient market on the NASDAQ under the trading symbol "CRBU".
23

24 20. Defendant Rachel E. Haurwitz ("Haurwitz") has served as Caribou's President, Chief
25 Executive Officer, and a Director of the Company at all relevant times. Haurwitz signed or authorized
26 the signing of the Registration Statement filed with the SEC.
27
28

1 21. Defendant Jason V. O’Byrne (“O’Byrne”) has served as Caribou’s Chief Financial
2 Officer at all relevant times. O’Byrne signed or authorized the signing of the Registration Statement filed
3 with the SEC.

4 22. Defendants Haurwitz and O’Byrne are sometimes referred to herein collectively as the
5 “Exchange Act Individual Defendants.”
6

7 23. The Exchange Act Individual Defendants possessed the power and authority to control
8 the contents of Caribou’s SEC filings, press releases, and other market communications. The Exchange
9 Act Individual Defendants were provided with copies of Caribou’s SEC filings and press releases alleged
10 herein to be misleading prior to or shortly after their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to
11 prevent their issuance or to cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions with Caribou, and their
12 access to material information available to them but not to the public, the Exchange Act Individual
13 Defendants knew that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to and were being
14 concealed from the public, and that the positive representations being made were then materially false
15 and misleading. The Exchange Act Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements and
16 omissions pleaded herein.
17
18

19 24. Caribou and the Exchange Act Individual Defendants are sometimes referred to herein
20 collectively as the “Exchange Act Defendants.”

21 25. Defendant Ryan Fischesser (“Fischesser”) has served as Caribou’s Controller at all
22 relevant times. Fischesser signed or authorized the signing of the Registration Statement filed with the
23 SEC.
24

25 26. Defendant Scott Braunstein (“Braunstein”) has served as a Director of Caribou at all
26 relevant times. Braunstein signed or authorized the signing of the Registration Statement filed with the
27 SEC.
28

1 CB-010, an allogeneic anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy that is being evaluated in the ANTLER Phase 1
2 clinical trial as a treatment for r/r B-NHL.

3 34. According to Defendants, CB-010 is the first clinical-stage allogeneic anti-CD19 CAR-T
4 cell therapy with PD-1 removed from the CAR-T cell surface by a genome-edited knockout of the
5 *PDCDI* gene, which purportedly sets CB-010 apart from other allogeneic CAR-T cells by, *inter alia*,
6 improving the “persistence” of antitumor activity.
7

8 35. On July 1, 2021, Caribou filed the Registration Statement on Form S-1 with the SEC in
9 connection with the IPO, which, after several amendments, was declared effective by the SEC on July
10 22, 2021.
11

12 36. On July 23, 2021, pursuant to the Registration Statement, Caribou’s common stock began
13 publicly trading on the NASDAQ under the ticker symbol “CRBU”. That same day, Caribou filed the
14 Prospectus on Form 424B4 with the SEC in connection with the IPO, which incorporated and formed
15 part of the Registration Statement.
16

17 37. Pursuant to the Offering Documents, Caribou issued 19 million shares of common stock
18 to the public at the Offering price of \$16.00 per share for proceeds of \$282.72 million to the Company,
19 before expenses, and after applicable underwriting discounts.
20

21 **Materially False and Misleading Statements Issued in the Offering Documents**

22 38. The Offering Documents stated that Caribou “ha[s] demonstrated in preclinical models
23 that the PD-1 knockout [effect of CB-010] improves the persistence of antitumor activity by disrupting a
24 pathway that leads to rapid T cell exhaustion.”

25 39. Particularly, in describing CB-010’s PD-1 knockout effect and the treatment’s attendant
26 clinical prospects, the Offering Documents stated, *inter alia*:

27 We use Cas9 chRDNA guides to make three edits to manufacture CB-010. We introduce,
28 with high efficiency and specificity, the gene encoding the CD19-specific CAR into the

1 gene encoding the T cell receptor alpha constant, or TRAC, a component of the native T
2 cell receptor, or TCR. This simultaneously integrates the CD19 CAR site-specifically into
3 the T cell genome and eliminates TCR expression to reduce the risk of graft versus host
4 disease, or GvHD. ***We also knock out the gene encoding the PD-1 protein in these cells
5 to boost the persistence of CAR-T cell antitumor activity. We believe that the PD-1
6 knockout has the potential to reduce the likelihood of rapid tumor recurrence and
7 potentially confer a better therapeutic index compared to other allogeneic CAR-T cells.***
8 To our knowledge, CB-010 is the first allogeneic CAR-T cell therapy with a PD-1 knockout
9 in clinical studies and it is being evaluated in our open-label, multicenter ANTLER phase
10 1 clinical trial in the United States in adults with relapsed or refractory B cell non-Hodgkin
11 lymphoma (NCT04637763). We have dosed the first patient in this clinical trial. We expect
12 to have initial data from this clinical trial in 2022.

13 (Emphasis added.)

14 40. With specific respect to the purported durability of CB-010's treatment effect, as afforded
15 by the PD-1 knockout strategy, the Offering Documents stated, in relevant part:

16 One of the approaches we deploy to increase the persistence of CAR-T cell antitumor
17 activity is to remove PD-1 from the CAR-T cell surface We believe that knocking out
18 PD-1 will maintain the CAR-T cells in a higher antitumor state for a longer period of time,
19 and we believe this will result in greater initial tumor debulking in the patient which will
20 lead to long-term durability of CAR-T cell antitumor activity [O]ur preclinical *in vivo*
21 data from experiments conducted in mouse xenograft models submitted as part of our CB-
22 010 IND [Investigational New Drug Application] demonstrate that knocking out PD-1
23 leads to a significant increase in the durability of antitumor activity and therefore overall
24 mouse survival. To our knowledge, our lead product candidate [CB-010] is the first
25 allogeneic CAR-T cell therapy in a clinical study with a PD-1 knockout, and we believe
26 will drive the durability of allogeneic CAR-T cell antitumor activity.

27 41. The statements referenced in ¶¶ 38-40 were materially false and misleading because the
28 Offering Documents were negligently prepared and, as a result, contained untrue statements of material
fact or omitted to state other facts necessary to make the statements made not misleading and were not
prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations governing their preparation. Specifically, the
Offering Documents made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) CB-010's
treatment effect was not as durable as Defendants had led investors to believe; (ii) accordingly, CB-010's
clinical and commercial prospects were overstated; and (iii) as a result, the Offering Documents were
materially false and/or misleading and failed to state information required to be stated therein.

1 **Materially False and Misleading Statements Issued During the Class Period**

2 42. The Class Period begins on July 23, 2021, when Caribou’s common stock began publicly
3 trading on the NASDAQ pursuant to the materially false or misleading statements or omissions in the
4 Offering Documents, as referenced in ¶¶ 38-40, *supra*.

5
6 43. On September 2, 2021, Caribou issued a press release providing a business update and
7 reporting the Company’s second quarter 2021 financial results. That press release stated, in relevant part,
8 that treatment with the CB-010 therapy, *inter alia*, “boost[s] the persistence of CAR-T cell antitumor
9 activity.”

10 44. Also on September 2, 2021, Caribou filed a quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC,
11 reporting the Company’s financial and operational results for the quarter ended June 30, 2021 (the “2Q21
12 10-Q”). With respect to the purported durability of CB-010’s treatment effect, the 2Q21 10-Q stated, in
13 relevant part:
14

15 Our lead product candidate, CB-010, is, to our knowledge, the first clinical-stage allogeneic
16 anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy with [PD-1] removed from the CAR-T cell surface by a
17 genome-edited knockout of the *PDCDI* gene. We have demonstrated in preclinical models
18 that the PD-1 knockout improves the persistence of antitumor activity by disrupting a
19 pathway that leads to rapid T cell exhaustion.

20 45. Appended as exhibits to the 2Q21 10-Q were signed certifications pursuant to the
21 Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”), wherein the Exchange Act Individual Defendants certified that
22 “[t]he [2Q21 10-Q] fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the [Exchange Act]”
23 and that “[t]he information contained in the [2Q21 10-Q] fairly presents, in all material respects, the
24 financial condition and result of operations of the Company.”

25 46. On November 9, 2021, Caribou issued a press release providing a business update and
26 reporting the Company’s third quarter 2021 financial results. That press release stated, in relevant part,
27
28

1 that treatment with the CB-010 therapy, *inter alia*, “prevent[s] the expression of the PD-1 protein . . .
2 with the intent of boosting the persistence of CAR-T cell antitumor activity.”

3 47. Also on November 9, 2021, Caribou filed a quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC,
4 reporting the Company’s financial and operational results for the quarter ended September 30, 2021 (the
5 “3Q21 10-Q”). That filing contained substantively the same statements as referenced in ¶ 44, *supra*,
6 regarding CB-010, including its purported ability to improve the “persistence” of antitumor activity.
7

8 48. Appended as exhibits to the 3Q21 10-Q were substantively the same SOX certifications
9 as referenced in ¶ 45, *supra*, signed by the Exchange Act Individual Defendants.
10

11 49. On March 21, 2022, Caribou issued a press release reporting the Company’s fourth
12 quarter and full year 2021 financial results and providing a corporate update. That press release stated,
13 in relevant part:

14 Caribou continues to enroll patients in ANTLER, a Phase 1 clinical trial of CB-010 in
15 adults with [r/r B-NHL]. CB-010 is an allogeneic anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy
16 engineered using Cas9 CRISPR hybrid RNA-DNA (chRDNA) technology to insert a
17 CD19-specific CAR into the TRAC gene and knock out PD-1 to ***boost the persistence of
antitumor activity.***

18 (Emphasis added.)

19 50. Also on March 21, 2022, Caribou filed an annual report on Form 10-K with the SEC,
20 reporting the Company’s financial and operational results for the quarter and year ended December 31,
21 2021 (the “2021 10-K”). That filing contained substantively the same statements as referenced in ¶ 44,
22 *supra*, regarding CB-010, including its purported ability to improve the “persistence” of antitumor
23 activity, while adding that Defendants “expect to disclose initial clinical data from this trial at a medical
24 conference in 2022.”
25

26 51. The 2021 10-K also stated, *inter alia*, that “our preclinical *in vivo* data from experiments
27 conducted in mouse xenograft models submitted as part of our CB-010 IND application demonstrate that
28

1 knocking out PD-1 leads to a significant increase in the durability of antitumor activity”; and that “we
2 believe the PD-1 knockout will drive the durability of allogeneic CAR-T cell antitumor activity.”

3 52. Appended as exhibits to the 2021 10-K were substantively the same SOX certifications
4 as referenced in ¶ 45, *supra*, signed by the Exchange Act Individual Defendants.
5

6 53. On May 9, 2022, Caribou issued a press release reporting the Company’s first quarter
7 2022 financial results and providing business updates. That press release stated that, “[i]n April 2022, an
8 abstract with initial clinical data from the ANTLER Phase 1 trial of CB-010 in adults with [r/r B-NHL]
9 was accepted at EHA [the European Hematology Association],” without disclosing the purported
10 significance of treatment durability observed in that data, if any, and while continuing to represent that
11 treatment with the CB-010 therapy, *inter alia*, “boost[s] the persistence of antitumor activity.”
12

13 54. Also on May 9, 2022, Caribou filed a quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC,
14 reporting the Company’s financial and operational results for the quarter ended March 31, 2022 (the
15 “1Q22 10-Q”). That filing contained substantively the same statements as referenced in ¶ 44, *supra*,
16 regarding CB-010, including its purported ability to improve the “persistence” of antitumor activity.
17

18 55. Appended as exhibits to the 1Q22 10-Q were substantively the same SOX certifications
19 as referenced in ¶ 45, *supra*, signed by the Exchange Act Individual Defendants.
20

21 56. On May 12, 2022, Caribou issued a press release announcing “Positive Initial Data for
22 CB-010 Anti-CD19 Allogeneic CAR-T Cell Therapy”. That press release quoted Defendant Haurwitz,
23 who stated:

24 Our initial CB-010 data are exciting, and we believe these results show the potential to set
25 a new therapeutic bar in treating patients with aggressive r/r B-NHL. These excellent initial
26 outcomes represent important steps toward validating our chrDNA genome-editing
27 platform as well as our plans for future development of CB-010 and our broader pipeline .
28 . . . CB-010 is the first allogeneic anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy in the clinic with a PD-1
knock-out, a genome-editing strategy designed to limit premature CAR-T cell exhaustion,
potentially leading to better tumor debulking and an improved therapeutic index through
sustained antitumor activity. We are slated to present additional ANTLER interim data at

1 EHA next month and expect more data by year end as we continue to advance our lead
2 program. Our overarching goal is to develop CB-010 such that it will meaningfully rival
3 autologous cell therapies to reach broader groups of patients globally who are in need of
4 off-the-shelf treatments.

5 57. The statements referenced in ¶¶ 42-56 were materially false and misleading because the
6 Exchange Act Defendants made false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material
7 adverse facts about the Company’s business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, the Exchange Act
8 Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) CB-010’s treatment
9 effect was not as durable as Defendants had led investors to believe; (ii) accordingly, CB-010’s clinical
10 and commercial prospects were overstated; and (iii) as a result, the Company’s public statements were
11 materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

12 **The Truth Begins to Emerge**

13 58. On June 10, 2022, during pre-market hours, Caribou issued a press release reporting
14 “Positive Additional Data from CB-010 Allogeneic CAR-T Cell Therapy Phase 1 ANTLER Trial at the
15 [EHA] 2022 Hybrid Congress” (the “June 2022 Press Release”). Among other results, that press release
16 reported that “[a]t 6 months following the single dose of CB-010, 40% of patients remained in CR (2 of
17 5 patients) as of the May 13, 2022 data cutoff date.”

18 59. This observed tapering-off of CR after treatment with CB-010 understandably prompted
19 investor concern over the durability of the CB-010 treatment. For example, also on June 10, 2022, online
20 investor news resource *Seeking Alpha* reported that “Caribou [was] in selloff after [the] data for cancer
21 candidate [CB-010]” because “only two patients . . . remained on CR as of the May data cutoff.”
22 Similarly, a Bloomberg Intelligence analyst wrote that CB-010 “showed lower-than-desired durability,
23 with a [CR] in two out of five patients at six months”; and an SVB Securities analyst wrote that the update
24 “has bears [*i.e.*, pessimistic investors] arguing questions of durability of CR’s among patients with the
25 most aggressive disease”.
26
27
28

1 60. On this news, Caribou’s stock price fell \$1.78 per share, or 20.41%, to close at \$6.94 per
2 share on June 10, 2022. Despite this decline in the Company’s stock price, Caribou securities continued
3 to trade at artificially inflated prices throughout the remainder of the Class Period because of Defendants’
4 continued misstatements and omissions regarding the durability of CB-010’s treatment effect.
5

6 61. For example, the June 2022 Press Release quoted Defendant Haurwitz, who stated, in
7 relevant part:

8 We believe the 100% [CR] achieved in the ANTLER CB-010 trial is unparalleled for a
9 single, starting dose of cell therapy and represents an important step toward showing the
10 potential of our chRDNA genome-editing platform and pipeline of allogeneic cell therapies
11 As the first allogeneic anti-CD19 CAR-T cell therapy in the clinic with a PD-1
12 knockout, CB-010 is designed to have *sustained* antitumor activity by limiting premature
13 CAR-T cell exhaustion in patients with r/r B-NHL.

14 (Emphasis added.)

15 62. On August 9, 2022, Caribou issued a press release reporting the Company’s second
16 quarter 2022 financial results and providing a business update. With respect to CB-010 and the ANTLER
17 Phase 1 trial, that press release stated, in relevant part:

- 18 • ANTLER trial:
 - 19 • Encouraging clinical data from the ANTLER Phase 1 trial of CB-010 in patients
20 with [r/r B-NHL] were presented in a poster at the [EHA] 2022 Hybrid Congress. A 100% [CR] rate (n=6) was observed as best response following a single dose of
21 CB-010 at dose level 1 (40x10⁶ CAR-T cells)
 - 22 • Following the EHA poster presentation, 1 additional patient had their 6-month
23 evaluation, which showed they maintained a CR at 6 months, resulting in an overall
24 50% 6-month CR rate (n=6) for cohort 1 following a single, starting dose of CB-
25 010.
 - 26 • Additionally, as disclosed concurrently with the EHA poster, the first patient treated
27 with CB-010 maintained a CR at 12 months.

28 63. The same August 9, 2022 press release also quoted Defendant Haurwitz, who described
the ANTLER Phase 1 trial data as “encouraging” based on its “100% [CR] rate as the best response at

1 dose level 1 in six patients with [r/r B-NHL]”, while representing that “[t]he ANTLER data have exceeded
2 our expectations and are an important step toward validating our chRDNA genome-editing platform” and
3 that “[w]e are excited to advance our plans for future development of CB-010[.]”

4
5 64. Also on August 9, 2022, Caribou filed a quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC,
6 reporting the Company’s financial and operational results for the quarter ended June 30, 2022 (the “2Q22
7 10-Q”). That filing contained substantively the same statements as referenced in ¶ 44, *supra*, regarding
8 CB-010, including its purported ability to improve the “persistence” of antitumor activity.

9
10 65. Appended as exhibits to the 2Q22 10-Q were substantively the same SOX certifications
11 as referenced in ¶ 45, *supra*, signed by the Exchange Act Individual Defendants.

12
13 66. On November 8, 2022, Caribou issued a press release reporting third quarter 2022
14 financial results and providing a business update. With respect to CB-010 and the ANTLER Phase 1
15 trial, that press release reiterated previously reported results that “6 of 6 patients (100%) achieved a CR
16 as their best response”; that “3 of 6 patients (50%) maintained a CR at 6 months”; and that “15 months
17 has been the longest CR, achieved by the first patient dosed with CB-010”, while also highlighting that,
18 “[i]n October 2022, a poster for a case report on long-term follow up for the first patient dosed in the
19 ANTLER Phase 1 trial was presented at the Lymphoma, Leukemia, & Myeloma (LL&M) Congress”,
20 which showed “[t]his patient achieved a [CR] at day 28 and maintained a long-term CR at 15 months
21 following a single dose of CB-010 at dose level 1 (40x10⁶ CAR-T cells).”

22
23 67. The same November 8, 2022 press release quoted Defendant Haurwitz, who stated, in
24 relevant part:

25 We have seen highly promising results from our lead allogeneic cell therapy, CB-010, at
26 the lowest starting dose in the ANTLER clinical trial in patients with [r/r B-NHL] The
27 . . . antitumor activity for CB-010 at dose level 1 are encouraging, and we look forward to
28 generating additional efficacy and durability data from the dose escalation phase of the
ANTLER trial.

1 68. Also on November 8, 2022, Caribou filed a quarterly report on Form 10-Q with the SEC,
2 reporting the Company’s financial and operational results for the quarter ended September 30, 2022 (the
3 “3Q22 10-Q”). That filing contained substantively the same statements as referenced in ¶ 44, *supra*,
4 regarding CB-010, including its purported ability to improve the “persistence” of antitumor activity.
5

6 69. Appended as exhibits to the 3Q22 10-Q were substantively the same SOX certifications
7 as referenced in ¶ 45, *supra*, signed by the Exchange Act Individual Defendants.
8

9 70. The statements referenced in ¶¶ 61-69 were materially false and misleading because the
10 Exchange Act Defendants made false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material
11 adverse facts about the Company’s business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, the Exchange Act
12 Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) CB-010’s treatment
13 effect was not as durable as Defendants had led investors to believe; (ii) accordingly, CB-010’s clinical
14 and commercial prospects were overstated; and (iii) as a result, the Company’s public statements were
15 materially false and misleading at all relevant times.
16

17 **The Truth Fully Emerges**

18 71. On December 12, 2022, during pre-market hours, Caribou issued a press release
19 “report[ing] new 12-month clinical data from cohort 1 in the ongoing ANTLER Phase 1 trial, which
20 [purportedly] show[ed] long-term durability following a single infusion of CB-010 at the initial dose level
21 1 (40x10⁶ CAR-T cells).” Specifically, that press release stated, in relevant part:
22

23 Cohort 1 results show:

- 24 • 6 of 6 patients achieved a [CR] as best response
 - 25 • *3 of 6 patients maintained a durable CR at 6 months*
 - 26 • *2 of 6 patients maintain a long-term CR at the 12 month scan and remain on the trial*
- 27
28

- 18 months is the longest CR maintained to date in ANTLER, achieved by the first patient dosed with CB-010

(Emphases added.) Accordingly, concerns that the CB-010 treatment lacked significant durability were confirmed, despite repeated assurances by Defendants to the contrary.

72. On this news, Caribou’s stock price fell \$0.81 per share, or 9.03%, to close at \$8.16 per share on December 12, 2022.

73. As of the time this Complaint was filed, Caribou common stock continues to trade below the \$16.00 per share Offering price, damaging investors.

74. As a result of Defendants’ wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of Caribou’s securities, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

PLAINTIFF’S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

75. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a class consisting of all persons and entities other than Defendants that purchased or otherwise acquired: (a) Caribou common stock in the IPO or purchased Caribou common stock thereafter in the stock market pursuant and/or traceable to the Company’s Offering Documents issued in connection with the IPO; and/or (b) Caribou securities during the Class Period; and were damaged thereby (the “Class”). Excluded from the Class are Defendants, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

76. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Caribou securities were actively traded on the NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed

1 Class. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by
2 Caribou or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form
3 of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.
4

5 77. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members of
6 the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is
7 complained of herein.

8 78. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and
9 has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests
10 antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.
11

12 79. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate
13 over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and
14 fact common to the Class are:

- 15 • whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as alleged
16 herein;
- 17 • whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public in the Offering
18 Documents for the IPO, or during the Class Period, misrepresented material facts
19 about the business, operations and management of Caribou;
- 20 • whether the Securities Act Individual Defendants negligently prepared the Offering
21 Documents for the IPO and, as a result, the Offering Documents contained untrue
22 statements of material fact or omitted to state other facts necessary to make the
23 statements made not misleading, and were not prepared in accordance with the rules
24 and regulations governing their preparation;
- 25 • whether the Exchange Act Individual Defendants caused Caribou to issue false and
26 misleading financial statements during the Class Period;
- 27 • whether certain Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and
28 misleading financial statements;
- whether the prices of Caribou securities during the Class Period were artificially
inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and

- 1 • whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the
2 proper measure of damages.

3 80. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient
4 adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the
5 damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of
6 individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done
7 to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.
8

9 81. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established by the fraud-on-
10 the-market doctrine in that:

- 11 • Defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material facts during
12 the Class Period;
- 13 • the omissions and misrepresentations were material;
- 14 • Caribou securities are traded in an efficient market;
- 15 • the Company's shares were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy volume during
16 the Class Period;
- 17 • the Company traded on the NASDAQ and was covered by multiple analysts;
- 18 • the misrepresentations and omissions alleged would tend to induce a reasonable
19 investor to misjudge the value of the Company's securities; and
- 20 • Plaintiff and members of the Class purchased, acquired and/or sold Caribou securities
21 between the time the Defendants failed to disclose or misrepresented material facts
22 and the time the true facts were disclosed, without knowledge of the omitted or
23 misrepresented facts.

24 82. Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to a
25 presumption of reliance upon the integrity of the market.

26 83. Alternatively, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to the presumption of
27 reliance established by the Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of the State of Utah v. United States*,
28

1 406 U.S. 128, 92 S. Ct. 2430 (1972), as Defendants omitted material information in their Class Period
2 statements in violation of a duty to disclose such information, as detailed above.

3 **COUNT I**

4 **(Violations of Section 11 of the Securities Act Against All Defendants)**

5 84. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates each and every allegation contained above as if fully set
6 forth herein, except any allegation of fraud, recklessness or intentional misconduct.
7

8 85. This Count is brought pursuant to Section 11 of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77k, on
9 behalf of the Class, against Defendants.

10 86. The Offering Documents for the IPO were inaccurate and misleading, contained untrue
11 statements of material facts, omitted to state other facts necessary to make the statements made not
12 misleading, and omitted to state material facts required to be stated therein.
13

14 87. Caribou is the registrant for the IPO. Defendants named herein were responsible for the
15 contents and dissemination of the Offering Documents.

16 88. As issuer of the shares, Caribou is strictly liable to Plaintiff and the Class for the
17 misstatements and omissions in the Offering Documents.
18

19 89. None of the Defendants named herein made a reasonable investigation or possessed
20 reasonable grounds for the belief that the statements contained in the Offering Documents were true and
21 without omissions of any material facts and were not misleading.

22 90. By reasons of the conduct herein alleged, each Defendant violated, and/or controlled a
23 person who violated Section 11 of the Securities Act.
24

25 91. Plaintiff acquired Caribou shares pursuant and/or traceable to the Offering Documents for
26 the IPO.
27
28

1 92. Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages. The value of Caribou securities has
2 declined substantially subsequent to and because of Defendants' violations.

3 **COUNT II**

4 **(Violations of Section 15 of the Securities Act Against the Securities Act Individual Defendants)**

5
6 93. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates each and every allegation contained above as if fully set
7 forth herein, except any allegation of fraud, recklessness or intentional misconduct.

8 94. This Count is asserted against the Securities Act Individual Defendants and is based upon
9 Section 15 of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. § 77o.

10
11 95. The Securities Act Individual Defendants, by virtue of their offices, directorship, and
12 specific acts were, at the time of the wrongs alleged herein and as set forth herein, controlling persons of
13 Caribou within the meaning of Section 15 of the Securities Act. The Securities Act Individual Defendants
14 had the power and influence and exercised the same to cause Caribou to engage in the acts described
15 herein.

16 96. The Securities Act Individual Defendants' positions made them privy to and provided
17 them with actual knowledge of the material facts concealed from Plaintiff and the Class.

18
19 97. By virtue of the conduct alleged herein, the Securities Act Individual Defendants are liable
20 for the aforesaid wrongful conduct and are liable to Plaintiff and the Class for damages suffered.

21 **COUNT III**

22 **(Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder
23 Against the Exchange Act Defendants)**

24 98. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set
25 forth herein.

26
27 99. This Count is asserted against the Exchange Act Defendants and is based upon Section
28 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.

1 100. During the Class Period, the Exchange Act Defendants engaged in a plan, scheme,
2 conspiracy and course of conduct, pursuant to which they knowingly or recklessly engaged in acts,
3 transactions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon Plaintiff and
4 the other members of the Class; made various untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state
5 material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which
6 they were made, not misleading; and employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud in connection
7 with the purchase and sale of securities. Such scheme was intended to, and, throughout the Class Period,
8 did: (i) deceive the investing public, including Plaintiff and other Class members, as alleged herein; (ii)
9 artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Caribou securities; and (iii) cause Plaintiff and other
10 members of the Class to purchase or otherwise acquire Caribou securities and options at artificially
11 inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan and course of conduct, the Exchange Act
12 Defendants, and each of them, took the actions set forth herein.
13
14

15 101. Pursuant to the above plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, each of the
16 Exchange Act Defendants participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or issuance of the
17 quarterly and annual reports, SEC filings, press releases and other statements and documents described
18 above, including statements made to securities analysts and the media that were designed to influence the
19 market for Caribou securities. Such reports, filings, releases and statements were materially false and
20 misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information and misrepresented the truth about
21 Caribou's finances and business prospects.
22

23 102. By virtue of their positions at Caribou, the Exchange Act Defendants had actual
24 knowledge of the materially false and misleading statements and material omissions alleged herein and
25 intended thereby to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, the
26 Exchange Act Defendants acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed or refused to
27
28

1 ascertain and disclose such facts as would reveal the materially false and misleading nature of the
2 statements made, although such facts were readily available to the Exchange Act Defendants. Said acts
3 and omissions of the Exchange Act Defendants were committed willfully or with reckless disregard for
4 the truth. In addition, each of the Exchange Act Defendants knew or recklessly disregarded that material
5 facts were being misrepresented or omitted as described above.
6

7 103. Information showing that the Exchange Act Defendants acted knowingly or with reckless
8 disregard for the truth is peculiarly within the Exchange Act Defendants' knowledge and control. As the
9 senior managers and/or directors of Caribou, the Exchange Act Individual Defendants had knowledge of
10 the details of Caribou's internal affairs.
11

12 104. The Exchange Act Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly for the
13 wrongs complained of herein. Because of their positions of control and authority, the Exchange Act
14 Individual Defendants were able to and did, directly or indirectly, control the content of the statements
15 of Caribou. As officers and/or directors of a publicly-held company, the Exchange Act Individual
16 Defendants had a duty to disseminate timely, accurate, and truthful information with respect to Caribou's
17 businesses, operations, future financial condition and future prospects. As a result of the dissemination
18 of the aforementioned false and misleading reports, releases and public statements, the market price of
19 Caribou securities was artificially inflated throughout the Class Period. In ignorance of the adverse facts
20 concerning Caribou's business and financial condition which were concealed by the Exchange Act
21 Defendants, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired Caribou
22 securities at artificially inflated prices and relied upon the price of the securities, the integrity of the
23 market for the securities and/or upon statements disseminated by the Exchange Act Defendants, and were
24 damaged thereby.
25
26
27
28

1 105. During the Class Period, Caribou securities were traded on an active and efficient market.
2 Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, relying on the materially false and misleading statements
3 described herein, which the Exchange Act Defendants made, issued or caused to be disseminated, or
4 relying upon the integrity of the market, purchased or otherwise acquired shares of Caribou securities at
5 prices artificially inflated by the Exchange Act Defendants' wrongful conduct. Had Plaintiff and the
6 other members of the Class known the truth, they would not have purchased or otherwise acquired said
7 securities, or would not have purchased or otherwise acquired them at the inflated prices that were paid.
8 At the time of the purchases and/or acquisitions by Plaintiff and the Class, the true value of Caribou
9 securities was substantially lower than the prices paid by Plaintiff and the other members of the Class.
10 The market price of Caribou securities declined sharply upon public disclosure of the facts alleged herein
11 to the injury of Plaintiff and Class members.
12

13
14 106. By reason of the conduct alleged herein, the Exchange Act Defendants knowingly or
15 recklessly, directly or indirectly, have violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5
16 promulgated thereunder.
17

18 107. As a direct and proximate result of the Exchange Act Defendants' wrongful conduct,
19 Plaintiff and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective
20 purchases, acquisitions and sales of the Company's securities during the Class Period, upon the disclosure
21 that the Company had been disseminating misrepresented financial statements to the investing public.
22

23 **COUNT IV**

24 **(Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act Against the Exchange Act Individual
25 Defendants)**

26 108. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing
27 paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
28

1 109. During the Class Period, the Exchange Act Individual Defendants participated in the
2 operation and management of Caribou, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the
3 conduct of Caribou’s business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the adverse non-
4 public information about Caribou’s misstatement of income and expenses and false financial statements.
5

6 110. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Exchange Act Individual
7 Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information with respect to Caribou’s financial
8 condition and results of operations, and to correct promptly any public statements issued by Caribou
9 which had become materially false or misleading.
10

11 111. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Exchange Act
12 Individual Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases
13 and public filings which Caribou disseminated in the marketplace during the Class Period concerning
14 Caribou’s results of operations. Throughout the Class Period, the Exchange Act Individual Defendants
15 exercised their power and authority to cause Caribou to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein.
16 The Exchange Act Individual Defendants therefore, were “controlling persons” of Caribou within the
17 meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the unlawful conduct
18 alleged which artificially inflated the market price of Caribou securities.
19

20 112. Each of the Exchange Act Individual Defendants, therefore, acted as a controlling person
21 of Caribou. By reason of their senior management positions and/or being directors of Caribou, each of
22 the Exchange Act Individual Defendants had the power to direct the actions of, and exercised the same
23 to cause, Caribou to engage in the unlawful acts and conduct complained of herein. Each of the Exchange
24 Act Individual Defendants exercised control over the general operations of Caribou and possessed the
25 power to control the specific activities which comprise the primary violations about which Plaintiff and
26 the other members of the Class complain.
27
28

1 113. By reason of the above conduct, the Exchange Act Individual Defendants are liable
2 pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by Caribou.

3 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

4 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

5 A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under Rule 23 of
6 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as the Class representative;

7 B. Requiring Defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class by reason of
8 the acts and transactions alleged herein;

9 C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class prejudgment and post-judgment
10 interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and other costs; and

11 D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

12 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

13 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

14 Dated:

15
16
17
18
19 _____
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28