

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

_____, Individually and on Behalf of
All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

TWIST BIOSCIENCE CORPORATION,
EMILY M. LEPROUST, and JAMES M.
THORBURN,

Defendants.

Case No.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR
VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL
SECURITIES LAWS**

CLASS ACTION

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 Plaintiff _____ (“Plaintiff”), by and through his counsel, alleges the following
2 based upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts, and upon information and belief as
3 to all other matters, including the investigation of Plaintiff’s counsel, which included, among
4 other things, a review of Defendants’ (defined below) United States Securities and Exchange
5 Commission (“SEC”) filings, wire and press releases published by Twist Bioscience
6 Corporation (“Twist” or the “Company”), analyst reports and advisories about the Company,
7 media reports concerning the Company, judicial filings and opinions, and other publicly
8 available information. Plaintiff believes that substantial additional evidentiary support will exist for
9 the allegations set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

10 **I. NATURE OF THE ACTION AND OVERVIEW**

11 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class of all persons and entities
12 who purchased or otherwise acquired Twist common stock between December 13, 2019, and
13 November 14, 2022, inclusive (the “Class Period”), seeking to pursue remedies under Sections 10(b)
14 and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), and SEC Rule 10b-5
15 promulgated thereunder.

16 2. Twist, a Delaware corporation with its principal executive offices in South San
17 Francisco, California, is a biotechnology company that manufactures synthetic DNA and DNA
18 products. Synthetic DNA products allow users to design and modify DNA for the purposes of
19 academic research, enhancing specialty chemical production, and developing healthcare treatments,
20 among other uses. Twist’s common stock trades in the United States on the Nasdaq Global Select
21 Market (“NASDAQ”) under the ticker symbol “TWST.”

22 3. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants repeatedly assured investors that the
23 Company possessed innovative proprietary technology relating to its synthetic DNA products that
24 positioned Twist for significant future growth. Indeed, Defendants claimed that the Company had
25 already achieved substantial growth during the Class Period, growing from a customer base of
26 approximately 1,300 diagnostic companies, hospitals, research institutions, and others at the end of
27 fiscal year 2019, to approximately 2,900 customers at the end of fiscal year 2021.

1 4. Similarly, Defendants reported skyrocketing gross margins, which purportedly grew
2 from 12.8% in fiscal year 2019, to 39.1% in fiscal year 2021, with margins projected to reach 40%
3 for fiscal year 2022.

4 5. During the Class Period, Defendants also announced plans to build a “Factory of the
5 Future” in Wilsonville, Oregon (the “Oregon Facility”), which would purportedly provide hundreds
6 of jobs and occupy 110,000 square feet. By August 2022, when Twist reported its financial results
7 for the third quarter of fiscal year 2022, Defendants projected annual capital expenditures between
8 \$95 million and \$100 million, largely attributable to “building out” this new manufacturing facility.

9 6. Plaintiff and other members of the class learned the truth about the Company’s actual
10 financial health on November 15, 2022, when Scorpion Capital (“Scorpion”) published a lengthy
11 report (the “Scorpion Report”) alleging that Twist is “a cash-burning inferno that is not a going
12 concern.”¹ Specifically, Scorpion alleged that, among other things, Twist’s purported DNA chip
13 technology is a “farce” comparable to Theranos Inc.’s now infamous non-existent blood-testing
14 technology, and that the Company’s growth and revenues are unsustainable, among other issues.

15 7. According to the Scorpion Report, Twist is perpetuating its fraud through false
16 reporting of capital expenditures and gross margins—which Scorpion claims are actually negative.
17 Indeed, Scorpion’s investigation of the forthcoming Oregon Facility revealed no evidence that the
18 Company is preparing to begin manufacturing there, suggesting that the Company is using the facility
19 to hide large operating expenses as fraudulent capital expenditures.

20 8. Scorpion further alleged that the Company’s growth is dependent upon unsustainable
21 pricing strategies, such as using below-cost prices to undercut competitors by as much as 70% to
22 85%. Ultimately, the Scorpion Report concluded that Twist is “operating a Ponzi-like scheme that
23 will end in bankruptcy.”

24
25
26
27 _____
28 ¹ All emphasis is removed from the Scorpion Report quotations.

1 9. In response to the revelations in the Scorpion Report, the price of Twist common stock
2 fell \$7.57 per share, or nearly 20%, from a close of \$38.00 per share on November 14, 2022, to close
3 at \$30.43 per share on November 15, 2022.

4 10. This Complaint alleges that, throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially
5 false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts, about the
6 Company's business and operations. Specifically, as alleged in the Scorpion Report, Defendants
7 overstated the commercial viability of Twist's synthetic DNA manufacturing technology while
8 engaging in accounting fraud and using unsustainable pricing to inflate the Company's true financial
9 condition and prospects. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the significant
10 decline in the market value of the Company's common stock, Plaintiff and other members of the
11 Class have suffered significant damages.

12 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

13 11. Plaintiff's claims asserted herein arise under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange
14 Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a), and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, including
15 SEC Rule 10b-5, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5.

16 12. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C.
17 § 1331 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa.

18 13. Venue is proper in this District under Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C.
19 § 78aa, and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), because Twist's principal executive offices are in South San
20 Francisco, California, and because many of the acts and conduct that constitute the violations of law
21 complained of herein, including the dissemination to the public of materially false and misleading
22 information, occurred in this District.

23 14. In connection with the acts, conduct, and other wrongs alleged in this Complaint,
24 Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce,
25 including, but not limited to, the United States mails, interstate telephone communications, and the
26 facilities of the national securities markets.

1 **III. PARTIES**

2 15. Plaintiff, as set forth in the accompanying certification, incorporated by reference
3 herein, purchased Twist common stock at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period and
4 suffered damages as a result of the violations of the federal securities laws alleged herein.

5 16. Defendant Twist is a Delaware corporation, with principal executive offices in South
6 San Francisco, California.

7 17. Defendant Emily M. Leproust (“Leproust”) has served as the Company’s Chief
8 Executive Officer throughout the Class Period.

9 18. Defendant James M. Thorburn (“Thorburn”) has served as the Company’s Chief
10 Financial Officer throughout the Class Period.

11 19. Defendants Leproust and Thorburn are collectively referred to herein as the
12 “Individual Defendants.”

13 20. The Individual Defendants, because of their positions with the Company, possessed
14 the power and authority to control the contents of Twist’s reports to the SEC, press releases, and
15 presentations to securities analysts, money and portfolio managers, and institutional investors, i.e.,
16 the market. Each Individual Defendant was provided with copies of the Company’s reports alleged
17 herein to be misleading prior to, or shortly after, their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to
18 prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions and access to material
19 non-public information available to them, each of the Individual Defendants knew that the adverse
20 facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and/or were being concealed from, the public, and
21 that the positive representations that were being made were then materially false and/or misleading.

22 21. Twist and the Individual Defendants are collectively referred to herein as
23 “Defendants.”

24 **IV. SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

25 **A. Background**

26 22. Twist manufactures synthetic DNA and DNA products for use by customers including
27 diagnostic companies, hospitals, and research institutions.

1 **B. Defendants’ False and Misleading Statements**

2 23. The Class Period begins on December 13, 2019, to coincide with the filing of the
3 Company’s annual report for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2019, on Form 10-K with the SEC
4 (the “2019 Annual Report”).

5 24. In the 2019 Annual Report, which was signed by Defendants Leproust and Thorburn,
6 Defendants touted the Company’s synthetic DNA technology, claiming:

7 We have combined our silicon-based DNA writing technology with
8 proprietary software, scalable commercial infrastructure and an e-
9 commerce platform to create an integrated technology platform that
10 enables us to achieve high levels of quality, precision, automation, and
11 manufacturing throughput at a significantly lower cost than our
12 competitors.

13 We have applied our unique technology to manufacture a broad range
14 of synthetic DNA-based products, including synthetic genes, tools for
15 next generation sample preparation, and antibody libraries for drug
16 discovery and development, all designed to enable our customers to
17 conduct research more efficiently and effectively.

18 25. Defendants further claimed in the 2019 Annual Report that the Company was selling
19 its synthetic DNA products to “a global customer base of 1,305 customers across a broad range of
20 industries.”

21 26. In the 2019 Annual Report, assured investors that the Company had significant growth
22 potential and DNA synthesis methods that were vastly superior to its competitors, explaining that
23 Twist’s “silicon-based chip technology can increase DNA production by a factor of 9,600 on a
24 footprint like that of traditional DNA synthesis methods” and “significantly lowers the volume of
25 required reagents.”

26 27. As required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Defendants Leproust and Thorburn
27 certified that they had reviewed the 2019 Annual Report, that it “does not contain any untrue
28 statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in
light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to
the period covered by this report,” and that they were “responsible for establishing and maintaining
disclosure controls and procedures.”

1 28. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants continued to tout Twist’s purportedly
2 revolutionary technology. In the Company’s annual report for fiscal year 2020 filed on Form 10-K
3 with the SEC on November 27, 2020 (the “2020 Annual Report”), which was signed by Defendants
4 Leproust and Thorburn, Defendants again represented that Twist’s “proprietary technology” is
5 enabling a wide range of customers “to conduct research more efficiently and effectively,” and stated
6 that the Company’s customer base had grown to approximately 2,200 customers.

7 29. Defendants also reported skyrocketing gross margins of 31.8% for fiscal year 2020 in
8 the 2020 Annual Report, up from 12.8% in the prior fiscal year.

9 30. As required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Defendants Leproust and Thorburn
10 certified that they had reviewed the 2020 Annual Report, that it “does not contain any untrue
11 statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in
12 light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to
13 the period covered by this report,” and that they were “responsible for establishing and maintaining
14 disclosure controls and procedures.”

15 31. On December 22, 2020, Twist issued a press release announcing that the Company
16 planned to build a “Factory of the Future” in Wilsonville, Oregon. In the press release, Defendants
17 claimed that the Oregon Facility would cover 110,000 square feet, provide up to 400 jobs, and become
18 operational in calendar year 2022.

19 32. Throughout the remainder of the Class Period, Twist reported substantial expected
20 capital expenditures, and largely attributed these expenditures to building the Oregon Facility. For
21 example, in the Company’s press release announcing its earnings for the first quarter of fiscal year
22 2021 on February 4, 2021, Defendants projected that capital expenditures for fiscal year 2021 would
23 be \$30 million, “including expansion into ‘Factory of the Future.’”

24 33. Defendants also reiterated the purported superiority of its proprietary technology and
25 increasing margins. For example, when the Company filed its annual report for fiscal year 2021 on
26 Form 10-K with the SEC on November 23, 2021 (the “2021 Annual Report”), which was signed by
27 Defendants Leproust and Thorburn, Defendants again claimed that Twist’s “proprietary technology”
28

1 would enable customers “to conduct research more efficiently and effectively,” and reported that the
2 Company had approximately 2,900 global customers.

3 34. In the 2021 Annual Report, Defendants also reported a substantial increase in gross
4 margins, reporting 39.1% gross margins for fiscal year 2021, up from 31.8% in the prior fiscal year.

5 35. As required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Defendants Leproust and Thorburn
6 certified that they had reviewed the 2021 Annual Report, that it “does not contain any untrue
7 statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in
8 light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to
9 the period covered by this report,” and that they were “responsible for establishing and maintaining
10 disclosure controls and procedures.”

11 36. On August 5, 2022, in the Company’s press release announcing its financial results
12 for the third fiscal quarter of 2022, Defendants increased their guidance for annual capital
13 expenditures to a range between \$95 million and \$100 million, which Defendants attributed to
14 “building out” the Oregon Facility. Additionally, Defendants assured investors that the Company’s
15 annual gross margins would reach 40% in fiscal year 2022.

16 37. During the Company’s quarterly earnings call with investors held that same day,
17 Defendant Leproust assured investors that the Oregon Facility would begin generating revenue in
18 January 2023.

19 38. Then, on August 16, 2022, Defendant Leproust told the *Portland Business Journal*
20 that the Oregon Facility would be fully operational by January 2023 and stated that the Company was
21 “in a very aggressive hiring spree in Wilsonville,” where the Oregon Facility is located.

22 39. In the same interview, Defendant Leproust further represented that renovations at the
23 Oregon Facility were complete, the facility’s manufacturing equipment was in place, and “[o]nce we
24 have [completed quality checks], we’ll be able to start taking orders and ship product.”

25 40. The above statements identified in paragraphs 24-39 were materially false and
26 misleading, and failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company’s business and
27 operations. Specifically, Defendants overstated the commercial viability of Twist’s synthetic DNA
28

1 manufacturing technology while engaging in accounting fraud and using unsustainable pricing to
2 inflate the Company’s true financial condition and prospects.

3 **C. The Truth Emerges**

4 41. Investors learned the truth about the Company’s scheme on November 15, 2022, when
5 Scorpion issued a lengthy report warning that Twist is “a cash-burning inferno that is not a going
6 concern” and is “operating a Ponzi-like scheme that will end in bankruptcy.”

7 42. According to the Scorpion Report, “Twist’s ‘DNA chip’ is simply a cut-and-paste of
8 an old technology” that Defendant Leproust’s former employer, Agilent Technologies, developed in
9 the early 2000s and had proven an “epic flop.” The Scorpion Report further alleged that Twist’s
10 DNA chip is “nothing more than a DNA micro-array, a legacy 40-year old technology” that is a
11 commodity already offered by competitors rather than an actual, innovative microchip with
12 transistors.

13 43. Given the alleged deceptive repackaging of existing technology, Scorpion compared
14 Twist to the now-defunct Theranos Inc., which infamously claimed that it had developed a
15 revolutionary blood-testing technology, but in reality was still using traditional technology.

16 44. The Scorpion Report also revealed that, according to certain former Twist employees,
17 Defendants’ representations about the Company’s competitive advantages—specifically, the
18 purported ability of its DNA chip technology to manufacture DNA at dramatically higher volumes
19 and with less raw materials—are patently false. Scorpion quoted former Twist employees who
20 explained that the Company’s manufacturing workflow uses “pretty standard molecular biology
21 technique[s]” and is “at the same point that all of the other vendors are at”—contradicting Defendants’
22 claims that Twist possesses revolutionary technology.

23 45. Moreover, the Scorpion Report characterized Twist as a “ticking time bomb” that is
24 “operating an unsustainable Ponzi-like scheme based on price dumping and customer subsidies to
25 buy revenue and create the illusion of ‘growth,’” and explained that Twist’s strategy was to “radically
26 underprice the competition in an effort to purchase market share and ‘growth,’” reporting that Twist
27 undercut competitors’ pricing by as much as 85%.

1 46. According to Scorpion, Twist depends on “extreme discounting to hold on to
2 [customer] accounts,” and several customers told Scorpion that they would not continue to purchase
3 from Twist if not for the massive discounts they are receiving. In fact, Scorpion reported that certain
4 of Twist’s competitors internally label the Company’s strategy a “Ponzi scheme,” given that Twist is
5 only displaying growth by raising money from investors to sell products at a loss to its customers.

6 47. Because Twist’s price dumping dramatically reduced prices throughout the industry,
7 certain former Twist employees told Scorpion that they do not expect Twist to ever recover from the
8 unsustainably low prices they caused, stating that “once you displace pricing and that badly, it’s
9 almost impossible to lift it again.”

10 48. Explaining Twist’s concealment of its unremarkable technology and unsustainable
11 pricing strategies by, *inter alia*, reporting fraudulent gross margins and improperly classifying certain
12 costs as operating expenses and capital expenses, the Scorpion Report alleged that Twist’s reported
13 gross margins—nearly 40% as of fiscal year 2021—are “unusually high” for a commodity
14 manufacturer, and that Twist’s gross margins are actually negative. As explained in the Scorpion
15 Report, Twist is concealing this problem in two ways: (1) “improperly expensing direct
16 manufacturing costs like labor as [research and development], an operating expense”; and (2)
17 “capitalizing manufacturing costs as capital expenditures.”

18 49. Further, Scorpion highlighted the fact that Twist has largely attributed its skyrocketing
19 capital expenditures to development of the Oregon Facility, which it characterized as an “epic
20 hoax . . . that . . . is little more than a ruse to conceal an additional \$100MM of losses by
21 misclassifying [cost of goods sold] as capital expenditures.” To this end, Scorpion reported that its
22 own private investigator had visited the location of the Oregon Facility, where he found “a deserted
23 parking lot, a quiet loading dock,” no reception area, a handful of office employees working at
24 computers, and—contrary to Defendant Leproust’s claims in August 2022—no evidence of
25 manufacturing equipment or activity.

26 50. The Scorpion Report also identified several additional “red flags” that Twist is
27 concealing fraudulent behavior, including a “cesspool of bad actors” comprising the Company’s
28

1 largest holders at the time of the Company’s Initial Public Offering in October 2018, potential
2 undisclosed related-party transactions by Defendant Thorburn, and suspicious transactions between
3 Twist and offshore Chinese entities raising concerns that the Company is violating the Foreign
4 Corrupt Practices Act.

5 51. In response to the revelations in the Scorpion Report, the price of Twist common stock
6 declined \$7.57 per share, or nearly 20%, from a close of \$38.00 per share on November 14, 2022, to
7 close at \$30.43 per share on November 15, 2022.

8 **V. PLAINTIFF’S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

9 52. Plaintiff brings this class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
10 on behalf of all persons and entities who purchased or otherwise acquired Twist common stock during
11 the Class Period (the “Class”). Excluded from the Class are Defendants, their agents, directors and
12 officers of Twist, and their families and affiliates.

13 53. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is
14 impracticable. The disposition of their claims in a class action will provide substantial benefits to the
15 parties and the Court.

16 54. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved
17 in this case. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class which predominate over
18 questions which may affect individual Class members include:

- 19 a. Whether Defendants violated the Exchange Act;
- 20 b. Whether Defendants omitted and/or misrepresented material facts;
- 21 c. Whether Defendants’ statements omitted material facts necessary in
22 order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under
23 which they were made, not misleading;
- 24 d. Whether Defendants knew or recklessly disregarded that their
25 statements were false and/or misleading;
- 26 e. Whether the price of Twist common stock was artificially inflated; and

1 f. The extent of damage sustained by members of the Class and the
2 appropriate measure of damages.

3 55. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those of the Class because Plaintiff and the Class
4 sustained damages from Defendants' wrongful conduct.

5 56. Plaintiff will adequately protect the interests of the Class and has retained counsel who
6 are experienced in class action securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests that conflict with those
7 of the Class.

8 57. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient
9 adjudication of this controversy. Joinder of all Class members is impracticable.

10 **VI. APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE: FRAUD-ON-THE**
11 **MARKET DOCTRINE**

12 58. Plaintiff will rely upon the presumption of reliance established by the fraud-on-the-
13 market doctrine in that, among other things:

- 14 a. Defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material facts
15 during the Class Period;
- 16 b. The omissions and misrepresentations were material;
- 17 c. The Company's common stock traded in an efficient market;
- 18 d. The misrepresentations alleged would tend to induce a reasonable investor to
19 misjudge the value of the Company's common stock; and
- 20 e. Plaintiff and the Class purchased Twist common stock between the time the
21 Company and the Individual Defendants misrepresented or failed to disclose
22 material facts and the time the true facts were disclosed, without knowledge of
23 the misrepresented or omitted facts.

24 59. At all relevant times, the market for the Company's common stock was efficient
25 because: (1) as a regulated issuer, the Company filed periodic public reports with the SEC; and (2) the
26 Company regularly communicated with public investors using established market communication
27 mechanisms, including through regular disseminations of press releases on the major news wire
28

1 services and through other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as communications with the
2 financial press, securities analysts, and other similar reporting services.

3 **VII. NO SAFE HARBOR**

4 60. Defendants' "Safe Harbor" warnings accompanying any forward-looking statements
5 issued during the Class Period were ineffective to shield those statements from liability.

6 61. Defendants are liable for any false and/or misleading forward-looking statements
7 pleaded because, at the time each forward-looking statement was made, the speaker knew the
8 forward-looking statement was false or misleading and the forward-looking statement was authorized
9 and/or approved by an executive officer of the Company who knew that the forward-looking
10 statement was false. None of the historic or present-tense statements made by Defendants were
11 assumptions underlying or relating to any plan, projection, or statement of future economic
12 performance, as they were not stated to be such assumptions underlying or relating to any projection
13 or statement of future economic performance when made, nor were any of the projections or forecasts
14 made by Defendants expressly related to or stated to be dependent on those historic or present-tense
15 statements when made.

16 **VIII. LOSS CAUSATION/ECONOMIC LOSS**

17 62. Defendants' wrongful conduct directly and proximately caused the economic loss
18 suffered by Plaintiff and the Class. The price of Twist common stock significantly declined when
19 the misrepresentations made to the market, and/or the information alleged herein to have been
20 concealed from the market, and/or the effects thereof, were revealed, causing investors' losses. As a
21 result of their purchases of Twist common stock during the Class Period, Plaintiff and the Class
22 suffered economic loss, i.e., damages, under the federal securities laws.

23 **IX. ADDITIONAL SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS**

24 63. During the Class Period, Defendants had both the motive and opportunity to commit
25 fraud. They also had actual knowledge of the misleading nature of the statements they made, or acted
26 in reckless disregard of the true information known to them at the time. In so doing, Defendants
27 participated in a scheme to defraud and committed acts, practices, and participated in a course of
28

1 business that operated as a fraud or deceit on purchasers of Twist common stock during the Class
2 Period.

3 **X. CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS**

4 **COUNT I**

5 **Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and**

6 **SEC Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder**

7 **Against All Defendants**

8 64. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.

9 65. During the Class Period, Defendants carried out a plan, scheme, and course of conduct
10 that was intended to and, throughout the Class Period, did: (1) deceive the investing public, including
11 Plaintiff and the Class; and (2) cause Plaintiff and the Class to purchase Company common stock at
12 artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan, and course of conduct,
13 Defendants, and each of them, took the actions set forth herein.

14 66. Defendants: (1) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (2) made untrue
15 statements of material fact and/or omitted material facts necessary to make the statements not
16 misleading; and (3) engaged in acts, practices, and a course of business which operated as a fraud and
17 deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's common stock in an effort to maintain artificially high
18 market prices thereof in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5.

19 67. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the
20 Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases of the Company's common
21 stock during the Class Period.

22 **COUNT II**

23 **Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act**

24 **Against the Individual Defendants**

25 68. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.

26 69. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of Twist within the meaning
27 of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. By virtue of their high-level positions, and their ownership
28

1 and contractual rights, participation in and/or awareness of the Company's operations, and/or intimate
2 knowledge of the false financial statements filed by the Company with the SEC and disseminated to
3 the investing public, the Individual Defendants had the power to influence and control—and did
4 influence and control, directly or indirectly—the decision-making of the Company, including the
5 content and dissemination of the various false and/or misleading statements. The Individual
6 Defendants were provided with or had unlimited access to copies of the Company's reports and other
7 statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements were
8 issued and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause the statements to be
9 corrected.

10 70. In particular, each of the Individual Defendants had direct and supervisory
11 involvement in the day-to-day operations of the Company and, therefore, are presumed to have had
12 the power to control or influence the particular accounting practices giving rise to the securities
13 violations as alleged herein, and exercised the same.

14 71. As described above, the Company and the Individual Defendants each violated Section
15 10(b) of the Exchange Act and SEC Rule 10b-5 by their acts and omissions as alleged in this
16 Complaint. By virtue of their positions as controlling persons, the Individual Defendants are liable
17 under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. As a direct and proximate result of this wrongful conduct,
18 Plaintiff and other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their purchases of
19 Company common stock during the Class Period.

20 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- 21 a. Determining that this action is a proper class action under Rule 23 of the
22 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- 23 b. Awarding compensatory damages and equitable relief in favor of Plaintiff and
24 other members of the Class against all Defendants, jointly and severally, for
25 all damages sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing, in an amount to
26 be proven at trial, including interest thereon;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- c. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses incurred in this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and
- d. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

XI. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.